wo

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDI	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
M	Marco Antonio Acosta-Flores	Case Number:	09-6015M
present and w			g was held on January 14, 2009. Defendant was ridence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
	The defendant is not a citizen of the U	nited States or lawfully adr	mitted for permanent residence.
×	The defendant, at the time of the char	ged offense, was in the Un	ited States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deporte or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant cont	acts in the United States or	in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
	The defendant has a prior criminal his	tory.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applica substantial family ties to Mexico.	int but has no substantial	ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
	There is a record of prior failure to app	pear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law	v enforcement contact by fl	eeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum o	fy	ears imprisonment.
The C at the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as note	al findings of the Pretrial Seed in the record.  ONCLUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defend No condition or combination of condition DIRECTIO	ant will flee. ons will reasonably assure NS REGARDING DETEN	
a corrections f appeal. The d of the United S	facility separate, to the extent practicable, defendant shall be afforded a reasonable States or on request of an attorney for the the United States Marshal for the purpos	from persons awaiting or so opportunity for private cons Government, the person ir	Ther designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.
IT IS 0 deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this	detention order be filed with	n the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric
IT IS F Services suffice	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to ciently in advance of the hearing before e potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be consid the District Court to allow	ered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DAT	ED this 15 <sup>th</sup> day of January, 20	09.	
		\$	
		San	
	U	David K. Duncan nited States Magistrate	Judge